UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/786,642	02/25/2004	David J. Stroh	GP-303617	3113
	7590 05/23/2007 CHRISTOPHER DEVRIES		EXAMINER	
General Motors Corporation Legal Staff, Mail Code 482-C23-B21 P.O. Box 300			BUKOWCZYK, JEREMY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Detroit, MI 48265-3000			3609	
			NAW PATE	DELIVERY MODE
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/786,642	STROH, DAVID J.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jeremy Bukowczyk	3609			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr , cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Fe	ebruary 2004.				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	·				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	•			
Application Papers		•			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 February 2004</u> is/are	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objec	ted to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Offi	ce Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ation No.			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior					
application from the International Bureau		Ç			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ived.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa	Date Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date					

Art Unit: 3609

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Claims 7-9 and 18-20 are objected to because of the following informalities: the applicant inconsistently uses break point and breakpoint to define the same subject matter. The applicant should be consistent and choose to use only one of the following: breakpoint or break point. If the applicant intended separate definitions for breakpoint and break point, those definitions should be more clearly stated in the specification. The application will be examined assuming that breakpoint and break point have the same meaning. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-10 and 13-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fliearman et al. (6,067,495).

As per claims 1 and 13, Fliearman discloses a torque request generation system (col. 3, lines 29-35) for use with a coordinated torque control system (col. 3, lines 41-42) of a vehicle (10), comprising: an input receiving a vehicle speed and an axle torque command (col. 1, lines 48-56); a datastore (col. 3, lines 43-

Art Unit: 3609

56) recording a three-dimensional torque surface (26) defined by a coordinate system having a first axis related to the axle torque command, a second axis related to the vehicle speed, and a third axis related to an axle torque request (Fig. 2); and a torque request generation module (26) accessing said datastore and generating a torque request based on a correlation between the axle torque command and the vehicle speed respective of the three-dimensional torque surface (col. 5, lines 40-42).

As per claims 2 and 14, Fliearman discloses a datastore (col. 3, lines 43-45) that includes a normalization function respective of at least one of vehicle speed and axle torque command (col. 5, lines 37-39) and adapted to reference the three dimensional torque surface (26) via a nominal maximum axle torque curve residing in a plane formed by the second and third axes (col. 5, lines 40-42), thereby allowing dynamic, online adjustment of a creep speed threshold (col. 5, lines 42-45). Although Fliearman does not explicitly use the terminology creep speed threshold, figure 2 of patent 6,067,495 inherently discloses the same limitations found in claims 2 and 14. Stroh's definition of creep speed threshold necessitates that Fliearman's three-dimensional representation in figure 2 has a creep speed threshold.

As per claim 3, Fliearman discloses wherein the creep speed threshold is dynamically determined as a function of idle set speed, such that the creep speed threshold changes as the idle set speed changes (col. 5, lines 36-48). Although Fliearman does not explicitly use the terminology creep speed threshold, figure 2 of patent 6,067,495 inherently discloses the same limitations

Art Unit: 3609

found in claim 3. Stroh's definition of creep speed threshold necessitates that Fliearman's three-dimensional representation in figure 2 has a creep speed threshold that is dynamically determined as a function of idle set speed, such that the creep speed threshold changes as the idle set speed changes.

As per claims 4 and 15, Fliearman discloses further comprising a nominal maximum torque adjustment module adjusting the nominal maximum axle torque based on the axle torque command and a fraction of maximum torque available due to ambient conditions relating to at least one of temperature and altitude (col. 4, lines 28-31). Fliearman explicitly discloses temperature (col. 4, lines 13-17) and inherently discloses altitude by disclosing air density (col. 4, lines 28-31) which necessitates altitude as evidenced by the calculation of air density below.

The air density calculator calculates air density (kg/m³) as a function of altitude (elevation) Z (m a.s.l.) and mean air temperature at the same altitude ("The WasP air density calculator" available from http://www.risoe.dk/vea/projects/nimo/WasPHelp/AboutAirDensityCalculator.htm)

As per claims 5 and 16, Fliearman discloses further comprising an ambient compensation module adapted to provide varying degrees of ambient compensation, wherein effects of altitude and temperature in maximum torque output are employed to provide at least one of partial compensation, no compensation, and full compensation of these effects (col. 4, lines 28-31).

As per claims 6 and 17, Fliearman discloses a torque request generation module compares the vehicle speed to a creep speed threshold of the three-

Art Unit: 3609

dimensional torque surface, and selectively determines whether to calculate a coast down region torque request versus a creep region torque request based on whether the vehicle speed exceeds the creep speed threshold (col. 5, lines 40-42).

As per claims 7 and 18, Fliearman discloses a torque request generation module normalizes the pedal command based on the pedal break point, adjusts the vehicle speed based on the creep speed threshold, computes a normalized positive torque based on a normalized pedal command and an adjusted vehicle speed, and multiplies an adjusted nominal maximum axle torque by the normalized positive torque, thereby producing the positive coast down region torque request (col. 5, lines 40-42).

As per claims 8 and 19, Fliearman inherently discloses in Figure 2 a torque request generation module normalizes the pedal command based on the pedal break point, adjusts the vehicle speed based on the creep speed threshold, computes a normalized positive torque based on a normalized pedal command and an adjusted vehicle speed, and multiplies an adjusted nominal maximum axle torque by the normalized positive torque, thereby producing the positive coast down region torque request.

As per claims 9 and 20, Fliearman discloses a torque request generation module normalizes the pedal command based on the pedal break point, multiplies a normalized pedal command by a negative real-time coast down torque, thereby producing a delta torque based on the real-time coast down

Art Unit: 3609

torque, and adds a positive real-time coast down torque to the delta torque, thereby producing the negative coast down torque request (col. 5, lines 36-48).

As per claims 10 and 21, Fliearman discloses a torque request generation module normalizes the vehicle speed based on the creep speed threshold, computes a normalized creep region torque based on a normalized vehicle speed and the pedal command, subtracts a real-time coast down torque from an adjusted nominal maximum axle torque, thereby producing an axle torque range, and multiplies the normalized creep torque by the axle torque range, thereby producing a de-normalized creep region torque (col. 5, lines 36-48).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 11-12 and 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fliearman et al. (6,067,495), in view of Bellinger (5,738,606).

Fliearman discloses all the claimed elements as mentioned in claims 1 and 13. Fliearman fails to disclose a torque request elevation module that compares the pedal command to a predetermined threshold, and elevates the torque request above a nominal maximum achievable torque as a function of an amount by which the pedal command exceeds the predetermined threshold relative to an upper range of pedal command; wherein an upper range of

Art Unit: 3609

elevation accounts for statistical variability between vehicle engine capabilities relating to maximum achievable torque.

Bellinger in the same field of invention discloses a torque request elevation module that compares the pedal command to a predetermined threshold, and elevates the torque request above a nominal maximum achievable torque as a function of an amount by which the pedal command exceeds the predetermined threshold relative to an upper range of pedal command (col. 13, lines 46-64); wherein an upper range of elevation accounts for statistical variability between vehicle engine capabilities relating to maximum achievable torque (col. 1, lines 46-55).

From this teaching of Bellinger, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the torque request generation system of Fliearman to include a torque request elevation module that compares the pedal command to a predetermined threshold, and elevates the torque request above a nominal maximum achievable torque as a function of an amount by which the pedal command exceeds the predetermined threshold relative to an upper range of pedal command; wherein an upper range of elevation accounts for statistical variability between vehicle engine capabilities relating to maximum achievable torque as taught by Bellinger, in order to regulate the output torque of an engine (col. 2, lines 41-44).

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Mc Collum Etchason et al. discloses torque and power

Art Unit: 3609

control in a power train. Taffin et al. discloses a method for controlling engine torque when shifting gears.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeremy Bukowczyk whose telephone number is 571-270-3022. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 6:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynda Jasmin can be reached on 571-270-3033. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

jb

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER